AEG Inland Empire



Back to the Future: (How) Can the Past Inform the Future? Andy Ridgwell

University of California – Riverside University of Bristol













Why?





Why?



Reason #1 - fun

(aka: gaining fundamental insights into the casues and consequences of key events in the evolution of the Earths environment and life)





Reason #2 - 'practical uses'

(e.g. understanding and improving prediction of petroleum reservoirs; subsurface movement of groundwater, contaminants, petroleum, etc.)





Reason #3 - for NSF-friendly 'future relevance' (the opposite of 'fun'?)



Why?



what exactly about `the future'?
(and hence can, and in what way, the past inform the future?)

* Outcome of the next Presidential 'Debate'?

- * Superbowl 2017?
- * Climate sensitivity (λ).

The equilibrium global mean annual surface air temperature warming associated with a doubling of atmospheric CO_2 .

 $\Delta T = \lambda \times \Delta F$, where $\Delta F \sim 5.35 \times C/C_0$ (W m⁻²) ($\Delta F \sim 3.71$ W m⁻² for a doubling of CO₂)

Atmospheric CO₂ (ppm)



Why?





Year



what exactly about 'the future'?

* Outcome of tonght's Presidential 'Debate'?

* Superbowl 2017?

* Climate sensitivity.

* (a) The strength of positive carbon cycle feedbacks with a warming climate (vegetation and soil carbon, peat, permafrost, methane hydrates), and the mechanistic nature of these feedbacks (e.g. increased carbon metabolism respiration vs. increased incidence of wildfires).

(b) The strength of negative carbon cycle feedbacks with a warming climate and higher atmospheric CO₂ (silicate weathering, weathered nutrient supply and availability, marine (or soil) organic carbon preservation and burial, deep-sea carbonate dissolution ('compensation')).



what exactly about 'the future'?

* Outcome of tonght's Presidential 'Debate'?

* Superbowl 2017?

* Climate sensitivity.

* (a) The strength of positive carbon cycle feedbacks with a warming climate (vegetation and soil carbon, peat, permafrost, methane hydrates), and the mechanistic nature of these feedbacks (e.g. increased carbon metabolism respiration vs. increased incidence of wildfires).

(b) The strength of negative carbon cycle feedbacks with a warming climate and higher atmospheric CO₂ (silicate weathering, weathered nutrient supply and availability, marine (or soil) organic carbon preservation and burial, deep-sea carbonate dissolution ('compensation')).

* Ecological and extinction sensitivity to climate change and <u>ocean</u> <u>acidification</u>.







When CO_2 dissolves in seawater, the equilibrium distribution of dissolved carbon between $CO_{2(aq)}$, HCO_3^{-} , and $CO_3^{-2^{-}}$, is perturbed.

To a first approximation, the net outcome can be written:

 $CO_{2(aq)} + CO_{3}^{2} + H_{2}O$ $\rightarrow 2HCO_{3}^{-}$

(However, a small part of the resulting HCO_3^{-1} dissociates into CO_3^{2-1} and H^+ , which is where the 'acidification' in ocean acidification comes from.)



0

 CO_3^2

0

Ca

Ca

Ca



 H_2O

Calcite: more stable

C O

0

H₂CO₃

(and more abundant) trigonal polymorph (e.g., coccolithophorides, foraminifera)



0

0

HCO₃

Aragonite: less stable

orthorhombic polymorph (e.g., many corals, pteropods) CaCO_{3(s)}



CaCO_{3(s)}

Са

Са

Са

In decreasing the ocean carbonate ion (CO_3^{2-}) concentration, the stability of $CaCO_3$, defined by its saturation state:

$\Omega = [Ca^{2+}] \times [CO_3^{2-}]/k$

is suppressed.

 Ω is simply a (normalized) measure of how thermodynamically favourable it is to precipitate CaCO₃.



From: Barker and Ridgwell [2012]



decreasing pH, saturation





Pandolfi et al. [2011] (Science)

Ocean Acidification





low CO₂ (high pH)

high CO₂ (low pH)

SEM micrographs of coccolithophorids under different CO₂ conditions Riebesell et al. [2000] (Nature 407)











['Joides Resolution']





vs.



Lies, damn lies, and computer models







[Intel 'knights landing'; 8e9 x 14 nm transistors; >60 processing cores]





VS.

Lies, damn lies, and computer models





`ASCI Q', ca. year 2003 ~7 teraflops

VS.



6 teraflops (6e9 floating point operations per second)

Lies, damn lies, and computer models





1 bash-per-second

1 bash-per-second







an OA analogue?







From: Hönisch et al. [2012] (Science)











Rate of change (or magnitude of CO₂ emissions)







































Age relative to the PETM (Ma)



Boron, isotopes, and paleo pH





Boron, isotopes, and paleo pH





 Site 401 (NE Atlantic)
 [in revision]

 Site 865 (Eq. Pacific)
 Site 1263 (ES Atlantic)
 Site 1209 (N Pacific)
 [Penman et al., 2014]





















 Site 401 (NE Atlantic)
 [unpublihed]

 Site 865 (Eq. Pacific)
 Site 1263 (ES Atlantic)
 Site 1209 (N Pacific)
 [Penman et al., 2014]

Time since PETM onset (ka)

Total carbon release (PgC)

Conclusions #1a – ecological sensitivities

proxy for 1 / (rate of change)

-74-

Conclusions #1b – ecological sensitivities

Conclusions #1c – ecological sensitivities

Conclusions #2 – role of carbon cycle feedbacks

the 'ideal' event?

* A transient environmental perturbation in the absence of massive volcanism and/or bolide impact ...

 ... or sufficient proxy data to back-out the contribution of volcanism.
 (Not obvious (to me) how direct environmental change can be backed out of an impact-dominated event.)

* Comparable onset time-scale to modern.

Thanks to:

Marcus Gutjahr [GEOMAR] Gavin Foster [NOC] Philip Sexton [The Open University] Paul Pearson [Cardiff]

Sandy Kirtland Turner [UCR]

The European Research Council Heising-Simons Foundation

